

Grand Challenges and S&T Foresight

Dr Rafael Popper

Research Fellow, Manchester Institute of Innovation Research (UK) Innovation Director & CEO, Futures Diamond (Czech Republic) rafael.popper@manchester.ac.uk - rafael.popper@futuresdiamond.com

About Foresight

(Popper, 2011)

- Foresight is a systematic, participatory, prospective and policy-oriented process which, with the support of environmental and horizon scanning approaches, is aimed to actively engage key stakeholders into a wide range of activities anticipating, recommending and transforming (ART) technological, economic, environmental, political, social and ethical (TEEPSE) futures.
- Key/Emerging/Frontier Issues
 - Environmental Scanning
 - Horizon Scanning
- ART
 - **A**nticipating
 - Recommending
 - **T**ransforming
- TEEPSE futures
 - Technological
 - Economic
 - Environmental
 - Political
 - Social
 - Ethical

About Grand Challenges

(Georghiou, 2008; Georghiou et al, 2008; iKnow, 2011)

1. Economic challenges

 need to engage business through a combination of supply-side measures for promotion of RTD and demandside measures to create innovation-friendly markets – see <u>Aho Group Report</u>

2. Social and environmental challenges

- causes and consequences of issues such as climate change, food and energy security and the ageing society
- initial drive will have to come from governments.
- **3.** Science and technology
 - collective ability to respond to opportunities in frontier research

GCs must be **relevant**, **feasible** and have **research dimension**



COMMENTARY





iKnow Grand Challenges list

- 1. Water security and vulnerability
- 2. Energy security and vulnerability
- 3. Health, illness and well-being
- 4. Sustainability and climate change
- 5. Ageing and demographic issues
- 6. Food security and culture
- 7. Globalisation and localisation
- 8. Social cohesion and diversity
- 9. Technological security, hazard and risk
- 10. Consumption and behavioural change
- 11. Innovation, knowledge and technology dynamics

- 12. Work-life balance and mental health
- 13. Science, technology and ethics
- 14. Crime, security and justice

iKnow.

- 15. Governance, democracy and citizenship
- 16. Coexistence and conflict
- 17. Social pathologies and ethics
- Social exclusion, poverty and affluence
- 19. Economic prosperity and growth dynamics
- 20. Urban and rural dynamics
- 21. Education and skills dynamics

GC's Broad & Deep Research Agenda

www.iknowfutures.eu & follow-up proposal summary

Need for a broad research agenda

- addressing 'wicked' problems
- interconnecting knowledge
- resolving conflicts in:
 - definitions
 - methodology
 - policy responses

Need for a deep research agenda

- Addressing grand challenges
- Addressing grand responses
- Addressing emerging issues
- Addressing knowledge governance
- Applying a 'worldviews' approach

- Forward-looking
 - Practices
 - Players
 - Outcomes
- Robust methodology
 - Explanatory
 - Participatory
 - Exploratory
 - Advisory
- Worldviews approach
 - Conservative
 - Reformist
 - Radical



1st message

There are many ways of addressing GCs and shaping our future...

Therefore, we need systematic activities aimed at anticipating, recommending and transforming futures

addressing Policy/Strategy S.M.A (Popper, 2011) Grand R E.R hallenges proach to





Addressing Grand Challenges

www.iknowfutures.eu & follow-up proposal summary

- consensus about the need to address GCs
- huge amount of information on GCs
 - problem of effective knowledge management (e.g. impact of climate change on cities, consequences of ageing population for workforce skills, etc.).
 - problem of interactions between various GCs (e.g. impact of climate change on water and food security, impacts of ageing or migration).
 - problem of Information overload, with studies at various levels of granularity, and considerable controversy in many topics.
 - problem that GCs are NOT just "big problems". They represent agendas for RTD, innovation and the development of conducive environments for adoption of innovations.
 - problem of classifying GCs in terms of:
 - Geographical relevance
 - Knowledge domain and RTD relevance
 - Feasibility as an economic or social investment

- Classifying GCs
 - Economic
 - Social & Environmental
 - Science and technology
- Selecting GCs
 - Geographical relevance
 - RTD relevance
 - Socio-economic feasibility
- Defining GCs space
 - Strata
 - Linkages
 - Borders of strata
 - Control mechanisms

Addressing Grand Responses

www.iknowfutures.eu & follow-up proposal summary

- GRs to GCs will almost certainly require:
 - interdisciplinary knowledge development
 - multi-stakeholder contributions to and applications of this knowledge base
 - a policy mix of actions (developing a policy roadmap that spans several traditionally distinct policy domains).
- Far too often specific efforts to address GCs such as geoengineering plans, or calls for massive change in consumer behaviour, smart metering and carbon taxes (all these in the context of energy/climate change challenges) – are conceptualised in very narrow ways.
 - The social resistance, technical difficulties, leads and lags in adjustment and transition, are poorly taken into account.

- Applying multiple approaches to GRs
 - Interdisciplinary
 - Multi-stakeholder
 - Policy mix
- Promoting stakeholder engagement through:
 - Delphi surveys
 - Expert workshops
 - Gaming activities
 - Scenario building
 - Roadmapping
 - Visualisation tools
 - Networking tools
 - Etc.

Addressing Emerging Issues

www.iknowfutures.eu & follow-up proposal summary

- Applying horizon scanning & issue mapping
- Mapping issues against GCs and GRs
 - Assessing factors shaping the **trajectories** of
 - GCs

3

- GRs
- Paying particular attention to
 - Seeds of change ("weak signals")
 - Potential surprises ("wild cards")
- Using bottom-up approaches such as surveys, citizen panels and web-based crowdsourcing for the analysis of emerging issues relevant to GCs & GRs

- Developing a robust emerging issues MAP
 - **M**onitoring issues
 - Analysing issues
 - **P**ositioning issues
- Mapping emerging 'issues' such as:
 - Weak Signals and potential Wild cards
 - Key technologies
 - Visions & scenarios
 - TEEPSE drivers
 - SWOT & GCs
 - Roadmaps
 - Models
 - Etc.

Addressing Knowledge Governance

<u>www.iknowfutures.eu</u> & <u>follow-up proposal summary</u>

- Effective knowledge governance (KG) requires:
 - Overcoming language barriers, cultural differences, competition and fragmentation of knowledge across disciplines, professions, and localities.
 - Exploiting creative environments, commercialisation, standardisation and innovations (technological & social)
- Governance involves the codification of knowledge and the development of mechanisms to:
 - Improve access/location
 - Promote validation/evaluation
 - Assess implications for action (both present and future)
- KG must be supported by social technologies:
 - situating and interconnecting codified knowledge
 - situating and interconnecting knowledge communities
 - enabling better communication and networking between experts and stakeholders of different types

- Identifying the right balance of methods supporting:
 - Knowledge push
 - Knowledge pull
- Using a wide range of KG strategies:
 - Balancing interactivity
 - Responding to 'hot' & current topics
 - Promoting 'gaming'
 - rating
 - scoring
 - user rights
 - badges & titles
 - Etc.

Applying a 'worldviews' approach

www.iknowfutures.eu & follow-up proposal summary

- Effective use of 'worldviews' approach requires interactive/participatory settings capable of:
 - Identifying key features of different worldviews
 - using deskwork
 - using workshops by proponents, or
 - using experts familiar with the worldviews
 - enabling direct comparison around specific points
 - Identifying key limitations in their abilities to grasp major problems and solutions
 - Identifying key points of potential agreements about gaps in knowledge (though not necessarily about how to most effectively resolve these).
 - Identifying key "boundary objects" for potential agreement about key features of a phenomenon, and alignment in terms of action without necessarily achieving consensus about many other things

- A worldviews approach can help to identify:
 - Key features
 - Key limitations
 - Key similarities
 - Key 'boundary objects'
 - Worldviews approach
 - Conservative
 - Reformist
 - Radical
 - Etc.



2nd message

We use a wide range of tools to support foresight & horizon scanning processes addressing Grand Challenges...

We often customise our methodologies, frameworks and systems to support a wide range of futures research & innovation activities

NEW CREATIVITY **FUTURES DIAMOND** Methodology EXPLORATORY METHODS Toolkit SF WILD CARD SCENARIO VIGNETTE GENIUS/EXPERT FORECAST BACKCASTING ROLE PLAY/GAMING TEEPSE ANALYSIS SWOT BRAINSTORMING ROADMAPPING DELPHI SCENARIO WORKSHOP PARTICIPATORY METHODS PREDICTION MARKET WEB-BASED CROWDSOURCING RULE-BASED FORECAST RELEVANCE TREES CITIZEN PANEL NTERACTION MULTI-CRITERIA ANALYSIS MULTIPLE PERSPECTIVE ANALYSIS SURVEY EXPERT PANEL SYSTEM DYNAMICS/SIMULATION CONFERENCE/WORKSHOP **KEY TECHNOLOGIES** MORPHOLOGICAL ANALYSIS POLLING/VOTING IMPACT ANALYSIS DATA/TEXT MINING STAKEHOLDER ANALYSIS CROSS-IMPACT/STRUCTURAL ANALYSIS BENCHMARKING SMIC INTERVIEW LOGIC CHART SEGMENTATION INDICATOR/INDEX REGRESSION ANALYSIS EXTRAPOLATION PATENT ANALYSIS BIBLIOMETRICS SCANNING LITERATURE REVIEW WEAK SIGNAL SNA LEGEND QUALITATIVE **EVIDENCE** QUANTITATIVE EXPLANATORY METHODS SEMI-QUANTITATIVE



44

ADVISORY METHODS

EXPERTISE

R. POPPER (2011)

We use web 2.0 tools







3rd message

We use networked innovation approaches to provide information technology (IT) and software solutions to government, business, research and education actors at local, national and international levels.

Our IT systems can be adapted to meet the methodological needs of most research and innovation processes

Futures Thinking Applied



IT Solutions for foresight and horizon scanning in action...





Foresight Knowledge System



1

A European Union supported platform aimed to explore, share and analyse forward-looking practices, players and outcomes in the world.

http://www.mappingforesight.eu



" Horizon Scanning Platform



A horizon scanning system on key issues affecting the future of the health and social care workforce planning in the United Kingdom.

2

http://www.futuresdiamond.net/cfwi



Emerging Issues Platform



3

"

An interactive system to map emerging issues, future 'shakers' (wild cards) and 'shapers' (weak signals) of science, technology and innovation in the world.

http://bank.iknowfutures.eu



Stakeholder Engagement System



4

An participatory system aimed to improve short-medium-to-long-term decision-making of public, private & international actors.

http://delphi.iknowfutures.eu







⁶⁶ Thank you for your attention ,,

Any questions?



Foresight: Exploring the Future, Shaping the Present A course for sponsors and practitioners of foresight 25th June - 29th June 2012

The University of Manchester

MANCHESTER

1824

- The course is aimed at:
 - sponsors of foresight projects
 - foresight practitioners
 - entrepreneurs
 - senior managers
 - company directors

- Special offer to HSE delegates
 - 6 people @ £1,400 = £8,400
 - 5 people @ £1,500 = £7,500
 - 4 people @ £1,600 = £6,400
 - 3 people @ £1,700 = £5,100
 - 2 people @ £1,800 = £3,600

- Course fee
 - The full residential fee is £1,950 per person fee includes all the course materials, accommodation for five nights (June 26th to June 30th inclusive) and all meals.
- Discount for early bookings and HSE delegates
 - This year we are offering a discount to participants whose application is received before
 16th April. For applications received by this date the course fee will be reduced to £1,800 GBP.
- Registration
 - Please use the downloadable registration form and return it to Lisa Gledhill by fax to +44 161-275-0923 or email <u>lisa.gledhill@mbs.ac.uk</u>